# How Many Canadians Subscribe to Cable TV or Satellite TV? 

Cable TV/DTH Subscriber Estimates, Profile of Non-Subscribers and Special Survey Results

Prepared for CRTC

Canadian Media Research Inc.
September 2006

- Introduction

This report addresses the question of how many Canadians in 2006 have cable TV or DTH in their household, either by legal means or otherwise. The objective is to provide an accurate estimate of the number of Canadians who have cable TV or satellite TV and the number who rely on over-the-air (OTA) reception of TV stations. In addition, the report reviews long term trends in the subscription levels of cable vs. DTH on a national and provincial basis and examines the demographic and lifestyle profile of those who do not have cable TV or DTH.

Cable TV and DTH subscription levels combined have increased over the past decade and the report examines the effects on the major broadcasters, public and private. Specifically, trends in the percentage of each broadcasters audience that comes from off-air reception vs. cable TV/DTH are examined.

Data from BBM (Bureau of Broadcast Measurement) and Nielsen Media Research from the period 1995-2006 and various industry data sources, including the CRTC’s 2006 Broadcasting Policy Monitoring Report and Statistics Canada’s Broadcasting and Telecommunications annual publication, are used are to answer the question of how many Canadian households (and people) have cable TV or DTH.

The report also examines public attitudes toward TV, using results from the 2005 TV Quality Survey.

The TV Quality (TVQ) Survey is a national survey of Anglophone Canadians' attitudes toward television conducted annually by CMRI among a representative sample of 1,500 or more Anglophone adults. The primary purpose of the survey is to test consumer awareness of the numerous TV channels available today via cable TV, DTH or over-the-air. The TVQ Survey also measures viewer satisfaction with TV.

The CRTC also commissioned a special survey which was conducted in early September 2006 by Comquest, a subsidiary of BBM. The survey was undertaken among a sample of 1,033 people, former respondents to BBM TV surveys, who indicated that they did not have cable or satellite. The objective of the survey was to shed light on why these Canadians do not subscribe to cable or satellite TV and results are found in the last section of this report.

## Barry Kiefl

CMRI

1. Trends in Cable TV and DTH Subscribers

Table 4.1: Number of basic subscribers (000)

- CRTC's 2006 Broadcasting Policy Monitoring Report

The CRTC’s 2006 Broadcasting Policy Monitoring Report contains a reference to the number of basic subscribers to various types of BDUs (cable TV, satellite companies, etc.). As of August 2005 the CRTC indicated there were 9,094,000 subscribers to cable TV, DTH or other BDUs (Table 4.1 from CRTC’s Broadcasting Policy Monitoring Report ). This number does not include subscribers to smaller cable TV systems, which are not required to report subscriber counts and other data to the Commission. Only larger, Class 1, cable TV systems with 6,000 or more subscribers are required to file an annual return with the CRTC. There are hundreds of small cable systems in Canada and their subscriber totals are not accounted for in the Commission's official data.

The Broadcasting Policy Monitoring Report acknowledges that the exclusion of smaller cable systems results in undercounting of subscribers. The report also includes subscriber data for the top 6 distributors, based on corporate quarterly reports. Table 4.3 in the CRTC's 2006 Broadcasting Policy Monitoring Report shows that as of 2006 the top 6 BDUs alone had a combined 9,396,000 subscribers. This clearly establishes that there are many more households with cable or DTH than indicated in the official CRTC data for Class 1 cable companies and satellite companies.

|  | Cable, class $1^{*}$ |  | DTH |  | MDS \& STV |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 6,857 | 81.0\% | 1,520 | 18.0\% | 87 | 1.0\% | 8,465 | 100.0\% |
| 2002 | 6,704 | 76.9\% | 1,960 | 22.5\% | 57 | 0.7\% | 8,723 | 100.0\% |
| 2003 | 6,581 | 74.9\% | 2,152 | 24.5\% | 50 | 0.6\% | 8,783 | 100.0\% |
| 2004 | 6,642 | 74.1\% | 2,277 | 25.4\% | 39 | 0.4\% | 8,958 | 100.0\% |
| 2005** | 6,607 | 72.7\% | 2,455 | 27.0\% | 32 | 0.4\% | 9,094 | 100.0\% |
| * In this instance and throughout the document references to class 1 BDUs and class 1 cable BDUs include Line (DSL) results. Also note that as a result of PN 2004-39 a few class 1 cable systems have been exempte requirements. <br> ** In this instance and throughout the document 2005 CRTC financial database results are preliminary. Note: 2001 to 2004 figures have been updated to reflect current aggregate August 31 results. <br> Source: CRTC financial database |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Corporations | Number of subscribers (000) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |
| Rogers Cable Inc. ${ }^{(1)}$ | 2,266 | 2,249 | 2,260 |
| Shaw Communications Inc. ${ }^{(2)}$ | 2,074 | 2,138 | 2,179 |
| Bell ExpressVu LLP. ${ }^{(1)}$ | 1,403 | 1,532 | 1,739 |
| Vidéotron Ltée ${ }^{(1)}$ | 1,428 | 1,455 | 1,520 |
| Star Choice Television Network Inc. ${ }^{(2,3)}$ | 814 | 830 | 862 |
| Cogeco Cable Inc. ${ }^{(2)}$ | 829 | 831 | 836 |
| Total - Top Canadian distributors | 8,814 | 9,035 | 9,39 |
| (1) As of March 31 each year. <br> (2) As of February 28 each year. <br> (3) Star Choice Television Network Inc. is controlled by Shaw Communications Inc. <br> Sources: Corporate Quarterly Reports |  |  |  |

- Statistics Canada’s Broadcasting and Telecommunications Report

Statistics Canada issued their latest statistics on cable TV/DTH subscribers in October 2005. The report included data for the period ending August 31, 2004. Even though the results are a year behind those of the CRTC, Statistics Canada put the total number of cable TV/DTH subscribers at $9,932,000$, that is, 838,000 more than the CRTC's estimate for 2005. Statistics Canada explains the difference in its annual publication, Broadcasting and Telecommunications: "For the 2002 reference year, the CRTC exempted a number of small cable undertakings from completing the Annual Return for the purpose of licensing. In order to continue providing total industry estimates, Statistics Canada continued surveying these small operators, but with a simplified questionnaire." It is likely that the difference would be as much as 1 million subscribers between CRTC and Statistics Canada data in 2005.

Statistics Canada's latest report also noted that its estimates do not include illegal subscribers, persons who have purchased a U.S. satellite dish or a Canadian dish that is receiving Canadian TV signals without payment to a licenced BDU or people that are stealing cable TV signals in an apartment building or other residence. The report goes on to say: "Opportunities for growth in this market are limited by the relatively high penetration of multichannel video services. This renders the issue of signal theft that much more important for the industry. By some estimates, there are as many as 1 million illegal dishes in Canada, a significant potential loss for the industry. If those estimates are close to reality, approximately $89 \%$ of the potential market has already been tapped by legal or illegal means. This is consistent with the results of another study that found that $87 \%$ of households receive television programs by cable or satellite, the remaining $13 \%$ relying on good old rabbit ears."

Mediastats, a private company that has tracked cable and DTH satellite subscriptions for many years, put the number of cable and satellite subscribers at 10.3 million as of September 2004, i.e., approximately 400,000 more than Statistics Canada. Mediastats' estimates include not only all cable TV systems, small and large, but also attempt to account for multiple subscribers in apartment buildings, which are often not properly accounted for.

Cable, satellite and multipoint distribution systems Selected national and regional market and performance indicators

|  | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subscribers by type of supplier | 000 |  |  |  |
| Subscribers to programming services | 9,457.1 | 9,644.0 | 9,782.1 | 9,932.1) |
| Clients of cable operators | 7,847.9 | 7,625.4 | 7,576.9 | 7,607.5 |
| Clients of wireless operators | 1,609.2 | 2,018.6 | 2,205.2 | 2,324.6 |

Statistics Canada - Catalogue no. 56-001-XIE

Nielsen Media Research
Statistics Canada and CRTC data are based on surveys of BDUs, as are Mediastats data. A questionnaire is sent to and completed by cable TV or satellite companies. They, of course, cannot report on the number of illegal subscribers to their services or subscribers to U.S. satellite services. Only surveys of Canadian consumers can hope to capture this segment of the market.

Nielsen Media Research has operated its 'people meter’ system for measuring TV viewing in Canada since 1989. Nielsen, along with BBM, are considered the most authoritative sources for TV viewing data, in large measure because of the quality of their sampling and research design. Over $\$ 3$ billion in TV advertising revenue in 2005 relied on the accuracy of Nielsen and BBM surveys.

Nielsen employs a simple random sample of residences enumerated by a field staff on-site. Every few years all homes in Canada are enumerated by Nielsen statisticians and this is updated annually. A description of the Nielsen survey methodology can be found on Nielsen's web site: properly represent the TV viewing environment all types of homes must be represented in the Nielsen sample, including homes that may have an illegal satellite dish or cable TV hook-up. In 2005 (as of the end of August) Nielsen estimated that there were 10,933,000 households in Canada with cable TV/DTH, which represented 87\% of all TV households in Canada. In other words, Nielsen put the number of subscribing household at almost 11 million, some 2 million more than the estimate in the CRTC's Monitoring Report. Nielsen's estimate of the number of TV households ( 12.6 million in 2005) is derived from annual Statistics Canada projections. Nielsen’s estimate for the number of households with cable TV/DTH are in all probability an accurate reflection of the Canadian TV environment.

Total TV Households, Cable TVIDTH and Off-Air Households in Canada 1995-2005

| Year | Total <br> TV <br> Households <br> $(000)$ | Cable/DTH <br> Households <br> (000's) | Off-Air <br> Households <br> $\mathbf{( 0 0 0 )}$ | Cable/DTH <br> Households <br> $\%$ | Off-Air <br> Households <br> $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | 10639 | 7979 | 2660 | 75 | 25 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | 10816 | 8004 | 2812 | 74 | 26 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | 11392 | 8544 | 2848 | 75 | 25 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | 11549 | 8662 | 2887 | 75 | 25 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | 11725 | 8794 | 2931 | 75 | 25 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 11800 | 8850 | 2950 | 75 | 25 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 11935 | 9071 | 2864 | 76 | 24 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | 12067 | 10233 | 1834 | 85 | 15 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | 12267 | 10430 | 1836 | 85 | 15 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | 12361 | 10569 | 1801 | 85 | 15 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 12629 | 10933 | 1697 | 87 | 13 |

## - Statistics Canada Consumer Survey

Interestingly, Statistics Canada also undertakes an annual consumer survey of Canadians' household equipment and spending, with a sample of some 17,500 respondents. The latest survey from 2003 confirms Nielsen's data: "The use of cable TV fell slightly to $65 \%$ of households. On the other hand, 23\% of households reported having a satellite TV dish, up from 21\% the previous year." (Statistics Canada: Catalogue no. 62-202-XIE, Table 1). In other words, Statistics Canada's consumer survey concluded that 88\% of Canadian households had either cable TV or DTH as far back as 2003.

Some years ago Statistics Canada made reference to its consumer survey data in its annual publication of industry survey data. Most years the consumer survey data showed substantially higher numbers of subscribers. However, in recent years the national statistics agency has not crossreferenced the two data sources.

Table 1
Provinces and territories, 2003 - continued Household equipment (at December 31) ${ }^{2}$

|  | Canada | Newfoundland and Labrador | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Prince } \\ & \text { Edward } \\ & \text { Island } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Nova } \\ \text { Scotia } \end{array}$ | Brunswick | Quebec | Ontario |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of households in sample | 17,265 | 1,371 | 581 | 1,419 | 1,326 | 3,462 | 1,977 |
| Estimated number of households | 12,214,130 | 197,680 | 53,970 | 369,240 | 291,670 | 3,116,620 | 4,559,920 |
| Communications and home entertainment equipment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| With a telephone (regular or cellular) | 98.8 | 98.2 | 99.0 | 98.9 | 98.6 | 98.5 | 98 |
| Telephones (includes business use) | 96.3 | 97.0 | 98.7 | 96.6 | 96.8 | 96.1 | 97. |
| 1 | 21.3 | 18.2 | 16.9 | 16.9 | 21.8 | 23.2 | 20. |
| 2 | 33.6 | 34.9 | 38.2 | 35.4 | 35.4 | 35.2 | 32.9 |
| 3 or more | 41.4 | 43.9 | 43.6 | 44.3 | 39.6 | 37.7 | 44.1 |
| Cellular telephone | 53.9 | 44.0 | 41.9 | 53.6 | 40.7 | 44.6 | 57. |
| Compact disc player | 76.1 | 74.9 | 75.9 | 76.4 | 72.9 | 74.0 | 75.5 |
| Cablevision | 65.1 | 65.0 | 55.8 | 63.1 | 61.6 | 57.0 | 69 |
| Satellite dish | 22.6 | 28.5 | 34.5 | 25.5 | 29.2 | 21.9 | 22. |
| DVD player | 54.5 | 43.9 | 46.1 | 50.1 | 46.3 | 43.9 | 53. |
| CD writer | 32.1 | 27.1 | 28.5 | 31.5 | 26.7 | 26.3 | 33 |
| Video cassette recorders | 90.3 | 90.5 | 89.3 | 90.9 | 89.2 | 88.9 | 90 |
| 1 | 58.0 | 56.8 | 61.4 | 57.6 | 56.9 | 58.0 | 57 |
| 2 or more | 32.2 | 33.7 | 27.9 | 33.2 | 32.4 | 31.0 | 33.1 |
| Home computer | 66.8 | 51.9 | 57.3 | 61.8 | 53.5 | 59.5 | 71 |
| Internet use from home | 56.9 | 41.0 | 48.9 | 52.4 | 44.9 | 48.1 | 62. |
| Type of Internet connection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regular telephone connection to a computer | 21.2 | 22.5 | 26.6 | 16.7 | 25.0 | 21.6 | 25 |
| High-speed telephone connection to a computer | 17.3 | 8.6 | 19.1 | 19.2 | 17.4 | 15.2 | 16. |
| Cable connection to a computer | 18.1 | 9.8 | F | 16.4 | 2.4 | 10.9 | 20 |
| Other type of connection | 0.3 | F | F | F | F | F |  |
| Colour televisions | 99.0 | 99.7 | 99.7 | 98.9 | 99.7 | 99.2 | 98 |
| , | 37.8 | 27.7 | 29.1 | 29.9 | 36.8 | 38.5 | 37 |
| 2 | 36.0 | 36.7 | 38.6 | 37.3 | 35.4 | 38.7 | 34. |
| 3 or more | 25.2 | 35.2 | 32.0 | 31.7 | 27.5 | 22.0 |  |


|  | Canada | Newfoundland and Labrador | $\begin{gathered} \text { Prince } \\ \text { Edward } \\ \text { Island } \end{gathered}$ | Nova Scotia | Brunswick | Quebec | Ontario |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of households in sample | 17,265 | 1,371 | 581 | 1,419 | 1,326 | 3,462 | 1,977 |
| Estimated number of households | 12,214,130 | 197,680 | 53,970 | 369,240 | 291,670 | 3,116,620 | 4,559,920 |
| Communications and home entertainment equipment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| With a telephone (regular or cellular) | 98.8 | 98.2 | 99.0 | 98.9 | 98.6 | 98.5 | 98.9 |
| Telephones (includes business use) | 96.3 | 97.0 | 98.7 | 96.6 | 96.8 | 96.1 | 97.2 |
| 1 | 21.3 | 18.2 | 16.9 | 16.9 | 21.8 | 23.2 | 20.2 |
| 2 | 33.6 | 34.9 | 38.2 | 35.4 | 35.4 | 35.2 | 32.9 |
| 3 or more | 41.4 | 43.9 | 43.6 | 44.3 | 39.6 | 37.7 | 44.1 |
| Cellular telephone | 53.9 | 44.0 | 41.9 | 53.6 | 40.7 | 44.6 | 57.6 |
| Compact disc player | 761 | 74.9 | 75.9 | 76.4 | 72.9 | 74.0 | 75.5 |
| Cablevision | 65.1 | 65.0 | 55.8 | 63.1 | 61.6 | 57.0 | 69.4 |
| Satellite dish | 22.6 | 28.5 | 34.5 | 25.5 | 29.2 | 21.9 | 22.0 |
| DVD player | 54.5 | 43.9 | 46.1 | 50.1 | 46.3 | 43.9 | 53.4 |
| CD writer | 32.1 | 27.1 | 28.5 | 31.5 | 26.7 | 26.3 | 33.3 |
| Video cassette recorders | 90.3 | 90.5 | 89.3 | 90.9 | 89.2 | 88.9 | 90.7 |
| 1 | 58.0 | 56.8 | 61.4 | 57.6 | 56.9 | 58.0 | 57.6 |
| 2 or more | 32.2 | 33.7 | 27.9 | 33.2 | 32.4 | 31.0 | 33.1 |
| Home computer | 66.8 | 51.9 | 57.3 | 61.8 | 53.5 | 59.5 | 71.6 |
| Internet use from home | 56.9 | 41.0 | 48.9 | 52.4 | 44.9 | 48.1 | 62.6 |
| Type of Internet connection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regular telephone connection to a computer | 21.2 | 22.5 | 26.6 | 16.7 | 25.0 | 21.6 | 25.6 |
| High-speed telephone connection to a computer | 17.3 | 8.6 | 19.1 | 19.2 | 17.4 | 15.2 | 16.6 |
| Cable connection to a computer | 18.1 | 9.8 | F | 16.4 | 2.4 | 10.9 | 20.2 |
| Other type of connection | 0.3 | F | F | F | F | F | F |
| Colour televisions | 99.0 | 99.7 | 99.7 | 98.9 | 99.7 | 99.2 | 98.9 |
| 1 | 37.8 | 27.7 | 29.1 | 29.9 | 36.8 | 38.5 | 37.8 |
| 2 | 36.0 | 36.7 | 38.6 | 37.3 | 35.4 | 38.7 | 34.8 |
| 3 or more | 25.2 | 35.2 | 32.0 | 31.7 | 27.5 | 22.0 | 26.3 |

Source: Statistics Canada

- BBM (Bureau of Broadcast Measurement)

BBM surveys can tell us the number of Canadians, rather than the number of households, that have cable/DTH or rely on OTA reception. Since households that have cable or satellite tend to be comprised of younger families with children, the percentage of people with cable/DTH will naturally be higher than the percentage of households.

BBM diary surveys have been designed to the highest methodological standards possible and their extremely large samples make them a unique data source. BBM's fall surveys are conducted among a sample of 75,000 or more respondents and BBM spring surveys are conducted among a sample of 50,000 or more respondents. A description of the BBM diary survey methodology can be found on BBM's web site

According to BBM's spring 2006 survey the combined penetration of cable and DTH was some $90.3 \%$, that is, only about 1 in 10 Canadians now depend on over-theair reception of TV. Over the past decade the percentage of people relying on OTA reception has shrunk from more than $20 \%$ to less than $10 \%$, that is, the OTA segment has been reduced by half during this period. Note that a small percent of homes with wireless cable are included in the cable TV totals.

Percentage of Canadians With Cable/DTH vs Off-Air Reception, All Canada, Persons 2-plus, Fall 1995-Spring 2006


Percentage of Canadians With DTH, All Canada,
Persons 2-plus, Fall 1995- Spring 2006



Canada and By Province, Persons 2-plus, Spring 2006
-Off-Air
-Cable/DTH


- BBM: Trends in DTH/Cable TV Penetration By Province

Not only do levels of OTA reception differ by province but cable TV and DTH subscription levels also differ markedly, according to BBM surveys. While nationally some $26.0 \%$ of Canadians had DTH in spring 2006, this varied from a low of $17.7 \%$ in B.C. to a high of $41.0 \%$ in New Brunswick. Saskatchewan, a province whose population is geographically widespread and not easily served by cable TV systems, was not far behind with 39.9\% DTH penetration. Nationally some $64.3 \%$ of Canadians had cable TV in spring 2006 but this ranged from a low of $49.5 \%$ in Saskatchewan to a high of $76.8 \%$ in B.C.

Percentage of Canadians With Cable, DTH or Off-Air Reception, All Canada and By Province, Persons 2-plus, Spring 2006


- BBM: Trends in OTA Reception By Province

Not everyone with cable TV or, in particular, DTH has all TV sets in the household hooked up to cable or satellite. As noted earlier, some $9.7 \%$ of Canadians, according to BBM diary surveys rely exclusively on OTA reception. In addition, there are cable/DTH homes that have one or more TV sets that receive TV signals via an antenna or rabbit ears. Nielsen confirmed with CMRI that of the 2,400-odd cable/DTH homes in their national people meter system, some 84 households currently have 1 or more sets that receive TV off-air, that is, about $4 \%$. Adding together those who have nothing but OTA reception and those cable/DTH subscribers with at least 1 set that receives signals over-the-air, BBM puts the percentage of all Canadians receiving TV over-the-air at $12.4 \%$ nationally (spring 2006). This ranged from a low of $3.8 \%$ in Newfoundland to $18.1 \%$ in Saskatchewan.

Percentage of Canadians With At Least One TV Set Attached to Off-Air Antenna, All Canada and By Province, Persons 2-plus, Spring 2006
\%

2. Trends in the Off-Air Audience of Broadcasters

- Traditional Broadcasters’ OTA Audience (English)

The net effect of fewer homes relying on rabbit ears or an antenna over the past few years is that over-theair broadcasters have seen dramatic changes in their audience composition. Take Global TV as an example: in this decade the percentage of its audience watching off-air has shrunk from 22.4\% in 2000-01 to only 7.8\% in 2005-06, according to Nielsen. Global is an extreme example, partly because it has relied to a greater extent on UHF transmitters, which historically were more difficult to receive off-air.

CTV has seen its OTA audience decline from 26.9\% in 2000-01 to 14.1\% in 2005-06.

Note that 2005-06 data in this section are based on the months September 2005 to May 2006, while other years are September to August; all data in this section are for persons aged 2-plus. Also note that the stations making up each station group may have changed over the period.

Percentage of the Global TV Audience Coming From Off-Air Homes vs. Cable/DTH Homes, 2000-01 to 2005-06


Percentage of the CTV Audience Coming From Off-Air Homes vs.
Cable/DTH Homes, 2000-01 to 2005-06


Percentage of TVA Audience Coming From Off-Air Homes vs.


Percentage of TQS Audience Coming From Off-Air Homes vs.
Cable/DTH Homes, 2000-01 to 2005-06


Percentage of TVO Audience Coming From Off-Air Homes vs.
Cable/DTH Homes, 2000-01 to 2005-06


Percentage of Tele-Quebec Audience Coming From Off-Air Homes


- Traditional Broadcasters' OTA Audience (CBC/SRC)

The net effect of fewer homes relying on rabbit ears or an antenna is that all over-the-air broadcasters have seen a decline in the OTA audience. The CBC is no exception: in this decade the percentage of the CBC English TV audience watching off-air has shrunk from $35.1 \%$ to $16.2 \%$, according to Nielsen meter data. The shrinking off-air audience is a primary reason for CBC audience share losses in recent years. Instead of 1 in 5 people having access to only 3-4 channels off-air, which was the case a decade ago, today only 1 in 10 have limited choice.

The same phenomenon has occurred in CBC French TV, although it is not as pronounced. Since the 200001 TV season, the percentage of Radio Canada’s audience watching off-air has shrunk from $36.4 \%$ to 20.9\%. However, despite recent trends, both the CBC English and French TV services still depend on off-air reception for significant proportions of their audience.

Percentage of the CBC TV Audience Coming From Off-Air Homes vs. Cable/DTH Homes, 2000-01 to 2005-06


Percentage of Radio Canada Audience Coming From Off-Air Homes vs. Cable/DTH Homes, 2000-01 to 2005-06


## 3. Profile of the Off-Air Audience

Demographic and Lifestyle Profile of Those Who Do Not Have Cable TV/ DTH Spring 2006

As noted earlier, BBM's fall surveys are conducted among a sample of 75,000 or more respondents and BBM spring surveys are conducted among a sample of 50,000 or more respondents. The large sample size allows one to generate very detailed profiles of small segments of the population, such as the 1 in 10 Canadians who receive TV off-air, and still have statistically significant data. BBM surveys ask respondents a surprising range of questions about their lives. The table at right compares all Canadians aged 2-plus to those who only receive TV OTA.

The percentage within each group is shown in the first two columns and the index of OTA vs. all Canadians is shown in the third column. Taking the first variable in the table, farmers/farm workers, the index shows such people index at 260, meaning they are 2.60 times more likely to receive TV off-air. People in the Arts \& Literature are 2.57 times more likely to receive TV OTA. People who listen to CBC radio (index: 195), are light TV viewers (index 184), have only 1 TV set (index:168), have household incomes under \$20,000 (index:163), who rent rather than own their own home (index: 150) and have very young children in the home (index:146) are all more likely to depend on off-air reception. Those who have attended an art gallery or museum in the past year (index:141), Francophones (index:138) and those who have attended theatre, ballet or opera (index :117) are also more likely to depend on rabbit ears. Interestingly, they also tend to be lighter internet users (index: 117). Finally, the OTA segment tend to be either from very large households (index: 200) or single person households (index: 144).

|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Canadians } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Off-Air Reception \% | Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OCCUPATION | FARMER/WORKR | 1.0 | 2.6 | 260 |
| OCCUPATION | ART/LIT/RECR | 0.7 | 1.8 | 257 |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE | 6 PERSONS | 2.7 | 5.4 | 200 |
| Radio-CBC Radio | Yes | 14.3 | 27.9 | 195 |
| TV QUINTILE | Q1-LIGHT | 20.0 | 36.7 | 184 |
| Children 2-11 AT HOME | 4 KIDS | 0.6 | 1.1 | 183 |
| Teens 12-17 AT HOME | 2 TEENAGERS | 7.5 | 12.9 | 172 |
| TV SETS IN HOUSE | 1 TV SET | 34.0 | 57.1 | 168 |
| HOUSEHOLD INCOME | UNDER \$ 20,000 | 7.0 | 11.4 | 163 |
| Radio-Classical/ FA | Yes | 4.8 | 7.7 | 160 |
| Adults 18+ AT HOME | 1 ADULT | 12.3 | 18.8 | 153 |
| Home Ownership | Rent | 17.3 | 26.0 | 150 |
| Children < 2 Yrs in Hhld | Yes | 8.1 | 11.8 | 146 |
| DVD player | No | 8.5 | 12.3 | 145 |
| Rented Movies Pst Mth | 6 or more times | 5.2 | 7.5 | 144 |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE | 1 PERSON | 8.9 | 12.8 | 144 |
| MOTHER TONGUE | OTHER | 6.0 | 8.5 | 142 |
| Art Gallery/ Museum Pst Yr | Yes | 18.0 | 25.4 | 141 |
| HOURS WORKED | 20-29 | 4.4 | 6.2 | 141 |
| Radio-J azz | Yes | 3.0 | 4.2 | 140 |
| HOME LANGUAGE | FRENCH | 21.1 | 29.1 | 138 |
| OFFI CI AL LANGUAGE | FRENCH | 11.6 | 15.9 | 137 |
| EDUCATION | GRADE | 10.8 | 14.8 | 137 |
| Lottery Spending Pst Mth | Nothing | 30.8 | 42.0 | 136 |
| HOUSEHOLD INCOME | \$20,000-\$29,999 | 7.0 | 9.5 | 136 |
| OFFI CI AL LANGUAGE | BOTH E/F | 16.1 | 21.7 | 135 |
| Furniture Spending Pst Yr | Nothing | 35.7 | 47.6 | 133 |
| Personal Video Recorder(PVR) | No | 43.3 | 57.4 | 133 |
| OCCUPATION | PROFESSI ONAL | 9.3 | 12.3 | 132 |
| Video Game System | No | 36.6 | 48.4 | 132 |
| HOUSEHOLD INCOME | \$30,000-\$39,999 | 9.4 | 12.4 | 132 |
| MOTHER TONGUE | FRENCH | 20.5 | 26.8 | 131 |
| EDUCATION | SOME POST GRAD DEG | 6.8 | 8.8 | 129 |
| AGE | 25-34 | 14.0 | 17.7 | 126 |
| AGE | 12-17 | 8.0 | 10.1 | 126 |
| IF PART-TI ME | HOMEMAKER | 6.9 | 8.5 | 123 |
| HOUSEHOLD INCOME | \$40,000-\$49,999 | 8.6 | 10.4 | 121 |
| EDUCATION | COMP UNIV | 11.6 | 13.8 | 119 |
| Thtr/ Bal/ Opera/ Symph Pst Yr | Yes | 25.9 | 30.3 | 117 |
| INTERNET TIME FOR PERSONAL | NONE | 19.7 | 23.0 | 117 |
| Home Repair Spent Pst Yr | Nothing | 29.9 | 34.9 | 117 |
| INTERNET TIME FOR PERSONAL | 1-5 HRS | 27.8 | 32.3 | 116 |
| IF PART-TIME | STUDENT | 19.5 | 22.6 | 116 |
| AGE | 7-11 | 5.7 | 6.5 | 114 |
| Beer drank in avg wk | None | 43.6 | 49.1 | 113 |
| Rented Movies Pst Mth | 1-2 times | 20.3 | 22.6 | 111 |
| Appliance Spending Pst Yr | Nothing | 50.5 | 56.1 | 111 |
| EDUCATION | NONE | 3.7 | 4.1 | 111 |
| Gardening Pst Yr | Yes | 30.3 | 33.5 | 111 |
| TV QUINTILE | Q2-LIGHT/MED | 20.0 |  | $9^{111}$ |
| PRINCIPAL SHOPPER | YES | 25.6 | 28.1 | 110 |

Demographic and Lifestyle Profile of Those Who Do Not Have Cable TV/ DTH

|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Canadians } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Off-Air Reception \% | Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults 18+ AT HOME | 5 ADULTS | 1.5 | 0.3 | 20 |
| Personal Video Recorder(PVR) | Yes | 5.0 | 1.7 | 34 |
| OCCUPATION | SR MGMT/EXEC | 1.6 | 0.6 | 38 |
| Lottery Spending Pst Mth | \$20 or more | 9.2 | 3.8 | 41 |
| HOUSEHOLD I NCOME | \$125,000 | 8.5 | 3.7 | 44 |
| Furniture Spending Pst Yr | \$2000+ | 13.7 | 6.1 | 45 |
| Furniture Spending Pst Yr | \$1,000-\$1,999 | 11.1 | 5.2 | 47 |
| Golf Pst Yr | Yes | 12.4 | 6.3 | 51 |
| TV SETS IN HOUSE | 3 OR MORE | 26.2 | 13.4 | 51 |
| Casino Pst Yr | Yes | 15.2 | 7.9 | 52 |
| Beer drank in avg wk | 13 or more | 2.3 | 1.3 | 57 |
| TV QUINTILE | Q5-HEAVY | 20.0 | 12.1 | 61 |
| Home Repair Spent Pst Yr | \$7,500 | 7.4 | 4.5 | 61 |
| Appliance Spending Pst Yr | \$1,500 | 6.2 | 3.8 | 61 |
| TV QUINTILE | Q4-MED/HEAVY | 20.0 | 12.4 | 62 |
| Beer drank in avg wk | 7-12 | 3.2 | 2.0 | 63 |
| OCCUPATION | MIDDLE MGMNT | 3.9 | 2.5 | 64 |
| EDUCATION | COMP HIGH | 17.3 | 11.1 | 64 |
| HOUSEHOLD INCOME | \$100,000-\$124,999 | 9.0 | 5.8 | 64 |
| OCCUPATION | FISHER/WORKR | 0.3 | 0.2 | 67 |
| INTERNET TI ME FOR PERSONAL | OVER 15 HRS | 6.7 | 4.7 | 70 |
| Adults 18+ AT HOME | 4 ADULTS | 6.9 | 4.9 | 71 |
| Prof Sports Event Pst Yr | Yes | 20.5 | 14.7 | 72 |
| Lottery Spending Pst Mth | \$5-\$19 | 14.4 | 10.5 | 73 |
| IF PART-TIME | RETIRED | 14.5 | 10.6 | 73 |
| TV SETS IN HOUSE | 2 TV SETS | 39.8 | 29.5 | 74 |
| OCCUPATION | SRVC.INDSTRY | 4.3 | 3.2 | 74 |
| OCCUPATION | CLERICAL\&REL | 5.1 | 3.8 | 75 |
| Bar/ Pub Pst Mth | Yes | 20.5 | 15.3 | 75 |
| Teens 12-17 AT HOME | 1 TEENAGER | 15.5 | 11.6 | 75 |
| HOUSEHOLD INCOME | \$75,000-\$99,999 | 14.9 | 11.4 | 77 |
| AGE | 60 PLUS | 18.7 | 14.4 | 77 |
| OCCUPATION | STOROWNR/SLF | 3.5 | 2.7 | 77 |
| INTERNET TIME FOR PERSONAL | 6-10 HRS | 11.1 | 8.6 | 77 |
| Adults 18+ AT HOME | 3 ADULTS | 15.7 | 12.6 | 80 |
| Cell phone for own use | Yes | 31.8 | 26.3 | 83 |
| Beer drank in avg wk | 4-6 | 5.3 | 4.4 | 83 |
| TV QUINTILE | Q3-MEDIUM | 20.0 | 16.7 | 84 |
| Fine Dining Rest Pst Mth | Yes | 18.3 | 15.4 | 84 |
| INTERNET TIME FOR PERSONAL | 11-15 HRS | 4.2 | 3.6 | 86 |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE | 5 PERSONS | 10.7 | 9.2 | 86 |
| Appliance Spending Pst Yr | \$500-\$1,499 | 11.5 | 9.9 | 86 |
| Home Repair Spent Pst Yr | \$2,500-\$7,499 | 10.8 | 9.3 | 86 |
| Telephone/ on-line banking | Yes | 29.5 | 25.5 | 86 |
| AGE | 18-24 | 7.7 | 6.7 | 87 |
| MOTHER TONGUE | ENGLISH | 61.4 | 53.6 | 87 |
| Video Game System | Yes | 20.7 | 18.2 | 88 |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE | 2 PERSONS | 31.5 | 27.9 | 89 |
| OFFICIAL LANGUAGE | ENGLISH | 64.4 | 57.3 | 89 |

- The TV Quality Survey: Attitudes Toward TV

The TV Quality (or TVQ) Survey is an annual survey of 1,500 or more Anglophones 18-plus. A description of the methodology of The TV Quality Survey is included as an appendix. With its sample of 1,500 or more respondents the statistical margin of error is +/$2.5 \%, 19$ times out of 20.

The TVQ Survey measures viewer satisfaction with TV and a number of attitudes toward television. The table at right compares all Canadians with those who receive TV OTA. In fall 2005, according to the TVQ Survey, persons who watched TV off-air were considerably less satisfied with TV; almost twice as many in the OTA segment said they were dissatisfied or very dissatisfied with television. And only about half as many strongly agreed that TV plays an important role in Canadian society ( $8.8 \%$ vs. $16.1 \%$ ). Interestingly, fewer people in the OTA group strongly agreed that Canadian TV news programs are important but many fewer strongly agreed that U.S. stations have better drama and comedy programs.

Attitudes Toward TV, All Canadians vs. Those Who Do Not Have Cable TVIDTH


Source: CMRI (TV Quality Survey)

## 4. Why People Don’t Subscribe

- Special Survey: Why People Don’t Subscribe

The CRTC commissioned a special telephone survey, which was conducted in early September 2006 by Comquest, a subsidiary of BBM. The survey was undertaken among a sample of 1,033 people, former respondents to BBM TV surveys, who indicated that they did not have cable or satellite. The objective of the survey was to shed light on why some Canadians do not subscribe to cable or satellite TV. With its sample of 1,000 or more respondents the statistical margin of error is $+/ 3.0 \%$, 19 times out of 20 .

As shown in the table, Anglophones and Francophones differ in their reasons for not subscribing. The largest single reason for Anglophones was affordability (22.5\%), whereas the largest single reason for Francophones was not watching enough TV (37.1\%). Combined, these two reasons accounted for almost one-half of respondents in each language group. A large number of Francophones (17.3\%) also indicated that they could get the programs they wanted off-air and a fair number of both language groups indicated that cable was not available to them. Very few in either group complained about poor cable or satellite service and equally small numbers complained about TV being too violent.

About 1 in 6 Francophones and 1 in 3 Anglophones indicated other reasons for not subscribing and these additional reasons are shown in the bottom part of the table.

Reason People Do Not Subscribe to Cable or DTH
Anglophones and Francophones 18-plus, September 2006

|  |  | Home Language |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | English | French |
| People choose to have, or not to have, Cable TV or Satellite TV for different reason. Please tell us why you do not have Cable TV or Satellite TV ... | Cannot afford cable, satellite Do not watch enough TV Can get the program I want over-the-air (rabbit ears; roof) <br> Recently moved <br> Went on vacation <br> Poor service from cable/ <br> satellite company <br> Cable not available <br> Cannot get satellite signal <br> TV is too violent <br> Other* (Specify) <br> Total | (22.5\%) | 18.8\% |
|  |  | 24.6\% | (37.1\%) |
|  |  |  | - |
|  |  | 5.9\% | 17.3\% |
|  |  | 0.2\% | 0.0\% |
|  |  | 0.1\% | 0.0\% |
|  |  | 0.2\% | 1.0\% |
|  |  | 12.0\% | 7.1\% |
|  |  | 1.0\% | 0.5\% |
|  |  | 0.9\% | 1.0\% |
|  |  | 32.6\% | 17.3\% |
|  |  | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  |  |  |
| * People choose to have, or not to have, Cable TV or Satellite TV for different reasons. Please tell us why you do not have Cable TV or Satellite TV ... (Those Who Said Other) | Too busy/not enough time Don't have TV <br> No service available in the area <br> Rather read <br> Family issues/Family concerns <br> Don't want it <br> Price/Cost/Financial reasons <br> Nothing good on TV/Don't <br> like the choices offered <br> Satisfied with what I have <br> Trying to watch less TV <br> Other <br> Total | 12.3\% | 26.5\% |
|  |  | 4.1\% | 0.0\% |
|  |  | 11.9\% | 0.0\% |
|  |  | 0.7\% | 0.0\% |
|  |  | 6.7\% | 8.8\% |
|  |  | 13.4\% | 26.5\% |
|  |  | 16.0\% | 5.9\% |
|  |  | 16.7\% | 8.8\% |
|  |  | 7.1\% | 2.9\% |
|  |  | 3.7\% | 8.8\% |
|  |  | 7.4\% | 11.8\% |
|  |  | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

Source: CMRI (Comquest)

Opinions of Those Who Do Not Subscribe to Cable or DTH Anglophones and Francophones 18-plus, September 2006

|  |  | Home Language |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | English | French |
| Have you ever had cable or | Yes | $43.9 \%$ | $38.1 \%$ |
| satellite TV | No | $56.1 \%$ | $61.9 \%$ |
|  | Total | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Why did you stop subscribing | Cannot afford cable, satellite, | $18.8 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ |
| to cable or satellite? | etc ... | $16.6 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ |
|  | Do not watch enough TV | $3.1 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ |
|  | Can get the programs I want | $19.4 \%$ | $26.7 \%$ |
|  | over-the-air (rabbit ears) | $0.6 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
|  | Recently moved | $3.9 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ |
|  | Went on vacation | $0.3 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |
|  | Poor service from cable/satellite | $37.4 \%$ | $26.7 \%$ |
|  | company | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |
|  | TV is too violent | $6.6 \%$ | 3.0 |
|  | Other (Specify) | $93.4 \%$ | $97 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Do you ever watch TV on a | Yes | $28.8 \%$ | $50.3 \%$ |
| computer screen? | No | $71.2 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ |
|  | Total | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| If local TV stations were only | Yes |  |  |
| available on cable TV or | No |  |  |
| satellite and you had to pay a | Total |  |  |
| monthly fee to continue to |  |  |  |
| receive them, would you then |  |  |  |
| subscribe? |  |  |  |

Source: CMRI (Comquest)

## Summary

- Various data sources have been triangulated in this study to determine that of the estimated 12.6 million Canadian households with at least one TV, approximately 11 million (87\%) have cable TV or DTH in their household, either by legal means or otherwise. Statistics Canada, Nielsen and BBM data all confirm that almost 9 in 10 households now have cable TV or DTH and that only about 1 in 10 rely on rabbit ears or antenna to receive TV.
- BBM surveys reveal that the percentage of Canadians, rather than the percentage of households, that have cable/DTH was slightly over $90 \%$ in spring 2006 and that just less than $10 \%$ relied on OTA reception. Since households that have cable or satellite tend to be comprised of younger families with children, the percentage of people with cable/DTH is higher than the percentage of households
- Cable TV and DTH subscription levels combined have increased over the past decade. In spring 2006 BBM estimated that $26 \%$ of Canadians had DTH and some $64 \%$ had cable TV. Cable TV subscription levels have declined in the past decade but DTH levels have increased markedly, making up for cable losses and increasing the cumulative total of those with multi-channel video. Ten years ago 1 in 5 Canadians received TV off-air but this group now makes up only 1 in 10 Canadians.
- However, the percentage of Canadians in 2006 relying on off-air reception differs by province. People living in Quebec are less likely to have cable TV or satellite; some $14 \%$ of Quebecois watch TV off-air, while in several Atlantic provinces and in B.C. the percentage is $6 \%$ or less.
- The net effect of this transformation is that over-the-air traditional broadcasters have seen a dramatic decline in the percentage of their audience delivered by those who watch TV off-air. In 2005-06 less than 8\% of Global's audience is off-air, roughly a third of what it was in 2000-01 and CTV's off-air audience is now just $14 \%$, about half of what it was six years ago. Private French-language broadcasters have also seen their off-air audience shrink but it is still more than $20 \%$ of their total audience. Public broadcasters have not been spared either but the proportion of their audience that is off-air is higher than is the case for English-language private broadcasters.
- A special analysis of the BBM spring 2006 data showed that those who rely on off-air reception tend to be farmers/farm workers, people in the arts, listen to CBC radio, are light TV viewers, have only 1 TV set, have household incomes under $\$ 20,000$ and rent rather than own their own home. They are more likely to have attended an art gallery or museum and have attended theatre, ballet or opera. Finally, they tend to be lighter internet users. In other words, the OTA segment is made up of a group of high brow Canadians not that interested in TV and another group of those with less disposable income to buy consumer items.
- In fall 2005, according to the TV Quality Survey, persons who watched TV off-air were considerably less satisfied with TV; almost twice as many in the OTA segment said they were dissatisfied or very dissatisfied with television. And only about half as many strongly agreed that TV plays an important role in Canadian society.


## Summary

- The CRTC commissioned a special survey in early September 2006 to determine the reason why some Canadians do not subscribe to cable or satellite TV. The largest single reason for Anglophones was affordability (22.5\%), whereas the largest single reason for Francophones was not watching enough TV (37.1\%). Combined these two reasons accounted for almost one-half of respondents in each language group. A large number of Francophones (17.3\%) also indicated that they could get the programs they wanted off-air and a fair number of both language groups indicated that cable was not available to them.
- Interestingly, approximately 2 in 5 of those who do not subscribe to cable TV or DTH did at one time subscribe. The most prevalent reasons given for ending their subscription were affordability and not watching enough TV. If local channels were only available on cable or DTH, more than one quarter of Anglophone non-subscribers indicated they would subscribe and more than one-half of Francophone non-subscribers said they would.


## APPENDIX

## A Survey of Canadians’ Attitudes Toward TV Channels and Programming

The TV Quality (TVQ) Survey is a national survey of Canadians’ attitudes toward television conducted annually by CMRI. A large number of Canadian specialty channels and conventional broadcasters are subscribers to the TVQ Survey. The primary purpose of the survey is to test consumer awareness of the many TV channels available today via cable TV, DTH or over-the-air. The TVQ Survey also measures viewer satisfaction with the dozens of TV services now available, including the new diginets, many of which have initially struggled to find an audience. The TVQ Survey will be particularly useful to networks wanting to understand the effects of their marketing initiatives. Over 100 Canadian and U.S. networks were measured in the 2005 survey.

In addition, the TVQ Survey measures viewer awareness and satisfaction with a large number of Canadian and U.S. TV series, quantifies the brand strengths of conventional and pay/specialty channels and explores opinions about a wide variety of issues surrounding TV.

## Methodology

The TVQ Survey has been conducted for the past four years among a representative sample of 1,500 or more Anglophone adults. Fieldwork for the 2005 TVQ Survey was conducted in October-November 2005 by Comquest, a subsidiary of BBM (Bureau of Broadcast Measurement), employing a 19-page mail questionnaire sent to former BBM TV survey respondents. Such a survey is commonly referred to as a return-to-sample (RTS) survey and is an effective way of collecting data on the qualitative reactions of TV viewers. Comquest/BBM have been conducting RTS surveys for many years.

The 2005 TVQ Survey involved a sample of some 1,614 Anglophone respondents aged 18-plus. One person per household was chosen at random
to complete the TVQ Survey questionnaire. The margin of statistical error for a sample of this size is $+/-2.5 \%$.

The response rate to the survey from the initial mail-out was approximately $40 \%$, a relatively high response rate for a mail survey. To encourage response to the TVQ Survey, the questionnaire was made as simple to complete as possible and a monetary incentive was included with the questionnaire.

To subscribe to the TVQ Survey, please contact Barry Kiefl, President, Canadian Media Research Inc., Ottawa. (bkiefl@sympatico.ca).

## APPENDIX

Percentage of Canadians With One or More TV Sets,
Spring 2006


Number of Channels Received, Age of TV Set,
Anglophones 18-plus, Fall 2005

|  | Total <br> Mean |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cable TV <br> Mean | Digital <br> Cable <br> Mean | DTH | Mean | Mean |  |  |
| Highest number of channels that you can receive on <br> MAIN TV set | 160 | 115 | 193 | 337 | 16 |  |
| Highest number of channels that you can receive on | 113 | 76 | 95 | 225 | 14 |  |
| 2nd TV set | 7.0 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 7.7 |  |
| Age of MAIN TV set in Years | 7.9 | 8.6 | 8.9 | 6.8 | 7.0 |  |
| Age of 2nd TV set in Years |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: CMRI (TV Quality Survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

